An Effective Orthotic Design for Controlling the Unstable Subtalar Joint

J. MARTIN COX, M.S., C.O.¹  
GENE BERGLUND²

Some 14 years ago, Messrs. W.H. Henderson, J.W. Campbell, and others at the University of California Biomechanics Laboratory gave us the UC-BL shoe insert type of foot orthosis. The concept envisioned in the UC-BL design was excellent. It embodies a design concept that lends itself well to solving a whole range of malalignment and pressure distribution problems of the foot. In the years since 1964, several materials have come to be commonly used in the construction of this orthosis as it has gained wide usage.

Since the advent of the application of the plastic shell design in AFO’s and KAFO’s, the principles involved in the proper stabilization and support of the pathological foot have gained new and greater recognition as being important. The plastic shell AFO and KAFO give us a “built-in” opportunity to do a superior job of supporting the patient’s foot. This cannot be overemphasized. Nowhere is it as true as it is in the field of children’s orthotics.

Children with a whole range of neuromuscular disorders demonstrate a large potential and propensity for the development of serious foot deformities. After pes equinovarus, the most common deformity is valgus instability of the subtalar joint.

The diagram in Figure 1 shows how, in the coronal plane, a simple loss of foot inverter strength is sufficient to precipitate a very unstable and progressive condition. Fortunately, in children, this deformity is often flexible, and proper alignment can be achieved passively.

Unfortunately, the foot support configurations we commonly see in contemporary foot orthoses and ankle-foot orthoses
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Fig. 1. Denaturalizing effects of gravity and Achilles tendon tension.
orthosis is not effective in stabilizing flexible pes valgus when the instability is greater than moderate. This is indeed unfortunate.

We doubt that the problem & the use of inappropriate materials, but rather that the problem usually results from a combination of failure to understand the procedures set forth by DC-BL and failure to develop the design beyond what was originally set forth.

This paper will outline the design principles and procedures used at Gillette Children's Hospital and present a few examples of short range results.

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

To create an effective foot orthosis, we must achieve the following:

- Creation of an inner contour for the orthosis which is capable of giving the foot architecture maximum passive support.
- Positive stabilization of the orthosis in the shoe so that it cannot be rolled into a valgus orientation.
- Stabilization of the heel on the walking surface

Although the list progresses obviously from most difficult to easiest, all three elements are essential to success, and none can be ignored.

INNER CONTOUR

Creation of a proper inner configuration begins with an accurate model of the foot. The calcaneus should be well defined in a position of good alignment. The model should possess a longitudinal arch, which needs not to be emphasized anterior to the navicular, since this may cause discomfort while contributing little to the stability of the calcaneus.

There are a variety of procedures and tricks which may be used to obtain a good model. We position the patient supine on a fitting table. The borders of the foot...
li and the navicular are marked with
indelible pencil directly on the skin. We al-
so mark the anterior border of the medial
aspect of the calcaneal tuberosity. A
piece of surgical tubing is cupped longitudi-
nally to the dorsal surface of the foot,
and petroleum jelly is applied to the skin
avoiding the indelible marks. After ap-
plying an elastic plaster bandage, a cast-
ing board is pressed against the planter
surface of the plaster covered foot. With
one hand, the orthotist grasps the fore-
foot against the casting board, while the
other hand holds the calcaneus in proper
alignment. Inward rotation of the foot
may also be helpful as outlined in the
original UC-BL paper (1). Partial
weightbearing is simulated by the orthot-
stic exerting pressure against the base of
the casting board.

After obtaining the model, the width
of the bare foot is measured at the meta-
tarsal heads and at mid-heel point (Fig.
2). These measurements are taken with
the stick pressed against the plantar sur-
face so that a measurement approximat-
ing the weightbearing configuration is
obtained.

The positive model is smoothed, and
the M-L dimension at the metatarsal
heads is brought to measurement. The
plantar surface at and just proximal to
the metatarsal heads and at the heel is
flattened precisely perpendicular to the
vertical (Fig. 3). In cases where the defor-
mity is severe, it is advisable to flatten the
heel at a slight angle (4 deg to 7 deg, de-
pending on severity), by removing more
plaster from the medial side. The fore-
foot is left alone at this point. (It will be
shown later how this wedge effect is sup-
ported.) Figure 4 illustrates the align-
ment of the metatarsal and heel flats in
the severe case. The M-L dimension of
the base of the heel is brought to 0.5 cm
under measurement by removing plaster
from the lateral tissue bulge at the base of
the heel.

Perhaps the most important step in
ear modification is exaggeration of the
posterio aspect of the longitudinal arch
(Fig. 5) and blending this in with the me-
dial support area above the calcaneal tu-
berosity (Fig. 6). At this state, the mark-
ings locating the navicular and the cal-
canale tuberosity become very important.
It is between these two features that it is
necessary to remove plaster aggressively
in order to create the pressure needed to
support directly the calcaneus in the area
of the sustemacular tall (Fig. 7). The
amount of plaster removed depends on
the size of the foot and the thickness of
subcutaneous tissue. It is not uncommon for us to remove plaster to a maximum depth of 0.8 cm. (¼ inch) on the model of a juvenile foot. To do this without causing tissue damage requires respect of the borders of the cuneiform tuberosity and, especially, the navicular.

The effectiveness of a good supporting inner contour should never be compromised by an improper trim line. Trim lines on the medial aspect should be as high as is comfortable and practical. On the lateral aspect, they can be considerably lower.

Richard Lehnert (2) pointed out several years ago the disadvantages of supporting the midfoot primarily and relying on medial ligaments such as the spring ligament to transmit this support back to the calcaneous (Fig. 8). It is likely that this (latter) approach will merely result in greater hypermobility of the subtalar joint.

STABILIZING THE ORTHOSIS

Positive stabilization of the orthosis in the shoe is accomplished by means of a medial heel extension lump. When the model is covered with hot polypropylene, 0.3 cm. thick, a small piece of hot polypropylene, 0.6 cm. thick, is pressed onto the medio-planar aspect of the heel portion of the first layer. The result is an extra lump of material fusion-bonded to the outside of the shell. Later, when the assembly has cooled and frozen, the lump is ground down to square it off as shown in Figure 9.

Figure 10 is a cross-section of the foot orthosis without the medial heel extension. As the foot tends to roll the orthosis into valgus, the orthosis will tend to tip up and roll over the point of most medial contact. A resisting moment (W times r, the distance of that point from the weight line) will tend to prevent this from happening. As can be seen from the diagram
Fig. 6. Diagram of medial support areas.

Fig. 7. Photograph showing the surface contour of the medial support area.
Fig. 8. Apex of arch centered in the area of the navicular. Support must be transmitted to the calcaneus via the spring and other medial ligaments.

Fig. 9. Photograph of the medial heel extension.

Fig. 10. Cross section through the heel area of the Shoe Insert Type Foot Orthosis as commonly provided.
of Figure 11, the addition of the medial heel extension significantly increases the moment arm, R, and it has much greater resistance to bring rolled into valgus.

In those more severe cases where we have angled the heel medially, the polypropylene which we added to create a medial extension is utilized also as a wedge (Fig. 12). This wedge should be equivalent to the amount of plaster removed from the medial planar aspect of the heel during model modification. It extends part way under the heel supporting the orthosis in the correct alignment.

When treating a varus instability, the inner contour of the orthosis will not contain the medial calcaneal support feature, and the extension will be placed on the lateral side of the heel instead of the medial side.

Robert Nisachke (3) has also been using a medial heel extension, but his have been on a design using Orthoplast.

STABILIZING THE SHOE
Stabilizing the shoe on the walking surface is usually not a problem if everything
else has been done correctly. The children seen here wear a wide variety of shoes with their foot orthoses including tennis shoes. We do tell our patients to avoid shoes with narrow heels, especially those made of the softer materials, because such heels may allow the entire foot-orthosis-shoe complex to roll quickly into valgus.

RESULTS

We at Gillette Children’s Hospital are pleased about the results we have been getting with this design during the past two years. Most of the children we treat have long range orthopedic problems, and preventing or at least minimizing foot deformities in these children is also a long range endeavor. It should begin certainly while the deformity is still flexible and bone deformities are still minimal. Treatment probably should continue until the deforming forces have been alleviated in the bare foot or through the end of growth, whichever comes first. Perhaps this is a good time to point out that although this foot orthosis does find some application in club foot and other congenital foot deformities, this paper discusses application in the more flexible deformities generally acquired after birth.

Figure 13 is the photograph of the foot of a six-year-old child with myelomeningocele. Although her deformity is serious, the calcaneus can still be brought into good alignment (Fig 14). The photos of Figures 15A and 15b show her months later in her orthosis. Although her shoe and orthosis obscure the view of her foot, there seems little doubt about the improvement.

Figure 16 is a photograph of the feet of a ten-year-old child with a glycogen storage disease. Again the deformity is quite severe, but flexible. Figure 17 shows two views of him standing in his orthoses.
Probably better than 80 percent of our patients who require orthotic treatment across the ankle or across the knee and ankle possess a potentially serious valgus instability of the subtalar joint. The techniques outlined for generating a supporting inner contour are used to equally good advantage in our plastic AFOs and KAFOs. Proper alignment and support below the subtalar joint reduces or eliminates the need for valgus corrective straps and padding above the ankle.

The purpose which the outrigger lump serves on the FO is generally, of course, not appropriate on the AFO or KAFO.

Finally, the subtalar joint also exhibits some plantar and dorsiflexion motion.
and a narrow smile. We do not want to lose the calm smile that indicates a mental state of peace, as it is often described. The calm and contented state is a reflection of the inner peace that we seek to achieve. It is a state of mindfulness, where we are aware of our present moment and accept it without judgment.

The patient in Figure 10 is lying on a bed, with his eyes closed and a smile on his face. This state of calm and peace is a sign of mental health and well-being. It is a state of mindfulness, where we are aware of our present moment and accept it without judgment.
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